

1 DANIEL G. BOGDEN
2 United States Attorney
3 District of Nevada
4 BLAINE T. WELSH
5 Assistant United States Attorney
6 Nevada Bar. No. 4790
7 333 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 5000
8 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
9 Phone: (702) 388-6336
10 Facsimile: (702) 388-6787
11 Email: Blaine.Welsh@usdoj.gov

8 DAVID SHONKA
9 Principal Deputy General Counsel
10 NIKHIL SINGHVI
11 JASON D. SCHALL
12 HELEN P. WONG
13 IOANA RUSU
14 LaSHAWN M. JOHNSON
15 COURTNEY A. ESTEP
16 Federal Trade Commission
17 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
18 Mailstop NJ-3158
19 Washington, D.C. 20580
20 Phone: (202) 326-3480 (Singhvi)
21 Facsimile: (202) 326-3629
22 Email: nsinghvi@ftc.gov; jschall@ftc.gov
23 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission*

18 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
19 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

20 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

21 Plaintiff,

22 v.

23 AMG Services, Inc. et al.,

24 Defendants, and

25 Park 269 LLC, et al.,

26 Relief Defendants.
27

Case No. 2:12-cv-536

**PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
FOR LEAVE TO FILE
REPLY MEMORANDUM
UNDER SEAL**

1 Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") hereby moves this Court for an Order granting the
2 FTC leave to file under seal its reply memorandum (Docket No. 573) in support of motion to unseal
3 summary judgment memoranda and exhibits (Docket No. 560). The Court has approved the FTC's
4 similar request to provisionally file materials under seal with respect to the FTC's summary judgment
5 filings. (*See* Docket Nos. 476, 506, 525, 562, 567.) In support of this motion, the FTC states as follows:

6 1. On January 11, 2013, this Court entered an amended protective order (Docket No. 308),
7 permitting parties to designate documents and testimony as confidential, and to submit such information to
8 the Court under seal.

9 2. On September 30, 2013, the FTC filed a motion for summary judgment. (Docket No. 454.)
10 With the Court's permission (Docket No. 476), the FTC filed under seal its memorandum (Docket No.
11 456), and exhibits (Docket No. 455) in support thereof.

12 3. On December 3, 2013, the FTC filed an opposition to Defendants' motion for summary
13 judgment (Docket No. 491), and exhibits in support thereof (Docket Nos. 491-1, 491-2, 491-3, 491-4,
14 491-5, 491-6, 491-7, 491-8). The FTC filed these documents under seal with the Court's permission.
15 (Docket No. 506.)

16 4. On December 20, 2013, the FTC filed a reply in support of its motion for summary
17 judgment (the "Reply"), as well as an appendix and declaration with accompanying exhibits (Docket No.
18 514 *et seq.*). These documents were filed under seal with the Court's permission. (Docket No. 525.)

19 5. On March 2, 2014, the FTC filed an opposition to Defendants' objection to the magistrate
20 judge's January 28, 2014 report and recommendation (Docket No. 556), and on March 3, 2014, the FTC
21 filed a response to Defendants' objection to the magistrate judge's January 28, 2014 evidentiary rulings
22 (Docket No. 557.) These documents were filed under seal with the Court's permission. (Docket No. 562.)

23 6. The FTC sought leave to file these documents under seal out of an abundance of caution,
24 and due to the breadth of the Defendants' confidential designations.

25 7. On March 11, 2014, the FTC filed a motion seeking to unseal and file redacted memoranda
26 and exhibits in connection with its summary judgment motions and briefings. (Docket No. 560.) In its
27 motion to unseal, the FTC proposed numerous redactions to its summary judgment memoranda and

exhibits. (Docket No. 561, 561-1, *et seq.*) The FTC sought to have those proposed redactions provisionally filed under seal because the materials are currently wholly under seal by order of the Court and because the FTC's proposal is in large part contested by Defendants. (Docket No. 563.) The Court granted the FTC's motion to file its exhibits to the unseal motion under seal. (Docket No. 567.)

8. On April 7, 2014, the FTC filed a reply memorandum in support of its motion to unseal. (Docket No. 573.) Portions of the FTC's reply memorandum quote from or paraphrase portions of documents that Defendants have designated as confidential and that Defendants have argued should remain sealed.

9. The FTC does not contend that any of the information in its reply memorandum should be sealed, and contends further that Defendants have not presented compelling reasons to maintain such information under seal. The FTC seeks leave of the Court to file its reply memorandum under seal solely to avoid publication of the disputed materials until the Court resolves the parties' confidentiality dispute.

WHEREFORE, the FTC respectfully requests leave of the Court to file under seal its reply memorandum (Docket No. 573) in support of motion to unseal.

Dated: April 7, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Ioana Rusu
 Nikhil Singhvi
 Jason D. Schall
 Helen P. Wong
 Ioana Rusu
 LaShawn M. Johnson
 Courtney A. Estep
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Federal Trade Commission

IT IS SO ORDERED:


 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: 4-7-2014

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Ioana Rusu, certify that, as indicated below, all parties were served by electronic case filing with **PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY MEMORANDUM UNDER SEAL**. All parties were served by email with Plaintiff's reply memorandum.

Dated: April 7, 2014

/s/Ioana Rusu
Ioana Rusu

Joshua M. Dickey (jdickey@baileykennedy.com)
Attorney for Red Cedar Services, Inc. dba 500FastCash; SFS, Inc. dba OneClickCash

Conly J. Schulte (cschulte@ndnlaw.com)
Francis J. Nyhan (jnyhan@ndnlaw.com)
Nicole Ducheneaux (nducheneaux@ndnlaw.com)
Attorneys for Defendants AMG Services, Inc.; Red Cedar Services, Inc. dba 500FastCash; SFS, Inc. dba OneClickCash; MNE Services, Inc. dba Tribal Financial Services, Ameriloan, UnitedCashLoans, USFastCash

David J. Merrill (david@djmerrillpc.com)
Debra K. Lefler (debra.lefler@kirkland.com)
Bradley Weidenhammer (bweidenhammer@kirkland.com)
Charles Kalil (ckalil@kirkland.com)
Richard Howell (rhowell@kirkland.com)
Peter J. Wozniak (peter.wozniak@kirkland.com)
Andrew A. Kassof (andrew.kassof@kirkland.com)
Attorneys for Defendants AMG Services, Inc. and MNE Services, Inc. dba Tribal Financial Services, Ameriloan, UnitedCashLoans, USFastCash

Von S. Heinz (vheinz@lrraw.com)
Darren J. Lemieux (dlemieux@lrrlaw.com)
E. Leif Reid (lreid@lrrlaw.com)
Jeffrey D. Morris (jmorris@berkowitzoliver.com)
Ryan C. Hudson (rhudson@berkowitzoliver.com)
Nick J. Kurt (nkurt@berkowitzoliver.com)
Attorneys for Defendants AMG Capital Management, LLC; Level 5 Motorsports, LLC; LeadFlash Consulting, LLC; Black Creek Capital Corporation; Broadmoor Capital Partners, LLC; Scott A. Tucker; Blaine A. Tucker

1 L. Christopher Rose (lcr@juww.com)
Michael R. Ernst (mre@juww.com)
2 *Attorneys for Defendants The Muir Law Firm, LLC and Timothy J. Muir*

3 Jay Young (jay@maclaw.com)
4 *Attorney for Defendant for Robert D. Campbell*

5 Paul C. Ray (paulcraylaw@aol.com)
Alyssa D. Campbell (acampbell@laic-law.com)
6 *Attorneys for Defendant Troy L. Littleaxe*

7 Patrick J. Reilly (preilly@hollandhart.com)
Linda C. McFee (lmcfee@mcdowellrice.com)
8 Robert Peter Smith (petesmith@mcdowellrice.com)
9 *Attorneys for Relief Defendants Kim C. Tucker and Park 269 LLC*

10 Brian R. Reeve (breeve@swlaw.com)
Nathan F. Garrett (ngarrett@gravesgarrett.com)
11 Whitney P. Strack (pstrack@gravesgarrett.com)
12 *Attorneys for Defendant Don E. Brady*